



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/223,016	12/30/1998	SCOTT L. MINNEMAN	100126	2341	
7590 09/07/2005		EXAMINER			
OLIFF & BERRIDGE			NGUYEN, HUY THANH		
P O BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2616		
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/223,016	MINNEMAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		HUY T. NGUYEN	2616	
The MAILING D	ATE of this communication a			ldress
Period for Reply				
WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be an after SIX (6) MONTHS from the set of NO period for reply is spector all the set of Failure to reply within the set of the set	TUTORY PERIOD FOR REP GER, FROM THE MAILING vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 the mailing date of this communication. If the mailing date of this communication or extended period for reply will, by statutice later than three months after the mail nt. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (1994). 136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	,,			
1) Responsive to c	ommunication(s) filed on 17	June 2005		
2a)⊠ This action is FI		is action is non-final.		•
· <u> </u>	ation is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the	e merits is
,	ance with the practice under		•	
Disposition of Claims	-			
	<u>3,15-21 and 23-26</u> is/are per	nding in the application		
	claim(s) is/are withdr			
5) Claim(s)i		am nom contractation.		
	3,15-21 and 23-26 is/are reje	ected.		
	is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification	is objected to by the Examir	ner		•
	led on is/are: a)☐ ac		by the Examiner	
	request that any objection to th	•	•	
	ving sheet(s) including the corre		* *	FR 1.121(d).
	aration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. (§ 119			
	is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	ne * c)□ None of:			
	opies of the priority docume			
	opies of the priority documer			
	the certified copies of the pri		received in this National	Stage
	n from the International Bure		as as it was	
See the attached	detailed Office action for a lis	or the certified copies not	receivea.	
Attachment(s)		_		
Notice of References Cited Notice of Draftsperson's P	I (PTO-892) atent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
	tement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		nformal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)
Tupor Hoto, mail Date	 *	ە) 🗀 Other:	⊸·	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1-4,6-13,15-21 and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hibi et al (5,546,191) in view of Lang (4,96395).

Regarding claim 1, Hibi discloses a system (Figs. 21 and 22) that uses structured representations to index recordings of activity (audio /video information) comprising:

an indexing device (95,79) that adds recordings of activity to at least one index as the activity is recorded (Fig. 16), indexes recordings of activity (column 28, line 41 to column 29, line 23) and plays recordings of activity based on a user input since the

Application/Control Number: 09/223,016

Art Unit: 2616

user can selecting any recordings activity portion to be replayed (column 30, lines 10-15, column 24, lines 40-60);

an object description file (79) that stores the at least one index; a user input device that selects at least one item of the at least one index based on a user input; an association device that associates the selected at least one item with a recording of an activity; and a playback system for replaying an indexed recording (column 24, lines 40-60, Fig. 16).)

Hibi fails to teach that the system further having an editing means for editing the activity and means for simultaneously relaying the indexed activity and recording activity.

Lang teaches a recording and reproducing apparatus (Fig. 2) having means for simultaneously playing recorded video/audio and recording video/audio information and mean for editing the audio/video information (column 2, lines 37-42, column 9, lines 1-55, column 6, lines 23-48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Hibi with Lang by using incorporating editing means and recording/ reproducing means as taught by Lang in the apparatus of Hibi thereby enhancing the capacity of the apparatus of Hibi for viewing and editing the video/audio information.

Further for claim 1, Hibi as modified with Lang teaches a recording system that records activity, the recording system allows a previously indexed recording to be recorded and inserted in a current second indexed (See Lang column 8, lines 10-27, 40-48, column 9, lines 30-50).

Method claim 10 corresponds to apparatus claim 1. Therefore, method claim 10 is rejected by the same reason as applied to apparatus claim 1.

Regarding claims 2, and 11 Hibi as modified with Lang further teaches a recording system that records the activity (See Hibi column 28, lines 40-55, Lang column 6, lines 25-50).

Regarding claims 3 and 12, Hibi as modified with Lang further teaches that the recording system allows a previously recorded activity to be inserted in a current recording (See Lang column 6, lines 25-50).

Regarding claims 4 and 13, Hibi as modified with Lang further an audio/video storage device that stores a recorded activity (See Hibi column 28, lines 40-55, Lang column 6, lines 25-50)

Regarding claims 6 and 15, Hibi further teaches the playback system can replay a portion of the indexed recording in response to selecting an item from the at least one index column 24, lines 40-60.

Regarding claims 7 and 16, Hibi as modified with Lang further teaches an editing system that allows modifications to a recorded activity (See Lang column 6, lines 22-47).

Regarding claims 8 and 17, Hibi further teaches a display device that displays at least one of the at least one index, the at least one item and the recording (Fig. 6, column 24, lines 40-60).

Application/Control Number: 09/223,016

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claims 9 and 18, Hibi further teaches the association device temporally associates the recording of an activity with the selected at least one item (column 24, lines 40-60).

Regarding claim 19, Hibi as modified with Lang further teaches that the recording of an activity is an audio recording (See Hibi column 7, lines 38-45, Lang column 6, lines 37-40).

Regarding claim 20, Hibi as modified with Lang further teaches the recording of an activity is a video recording (See Hibi, column 29, lines 1-15, Lang column 6, lines 37-40).

Regarding claim 21, Hibi as modified with Lang further teaches that the recording of an activity is a multi media recording (audio, video information from a broadcast or camera or from other recording/ reproducing apparatus).

Regarding claim 23, Hibi as modified with Lang further teaches that the at least one index is extracted from a document (video program) input to the system (See Hibi, column 28, line 58 to column 29, line 15).

Regarding claim 24, Hibi as modified with Lang further teaches inputting a document into the object description file prior to recording the activity; and extracting from the document the at least one index since Lang teaches that the document can be stored on a storage means before recording and Hibi teaches extracting a index from the activity. (See Lang column 9, lines 3-40, Hibi column 29, and lines 1-30).

Regarding claims 25 and 26, Hibi as modified with Lang fails to specifically teach that the activity directed to the disassembly and subsequent reassembly of a

device. However, it is noted that activity including disassembly and assembly is well known in the art . Therefore Official Notice is taken and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to capture the disassembly and reassembly of a device as a program and input the program to the apparatus of Hibi as modified with Lang as an alternative of a program source.

Page 6

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 17 June 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that "None of the applied art teaches, discloses or suggests a playback system for replaying an indexed recording that allows simultaneous recording of the activity while replaying an indexed recording of the activity, as claimed in claim 1 and similarly claimed in claim 10."

In response, the examiner disagrees. It is noted that Lang teaches the recording/ play back system for playing back recorded video signal (activity) simultaneously with recording the video signal (column 9, lines 5-40). Hibi teaches generating index images, adding index image to a recording of activity (video signal) and using the index image for selecting and playing back a recording of activity... Hibi as modified with Lang will teaches playback system for replaying an indexed recording that allows simultaneous recording of the activity while replaying an indexed recording of the activity, as recited in claims 1 and 10.

Applicant agues that "none of the applied art teaches a recording system that records activity, the recording system allows a previously indexed recording to be recorded and inserted in a current second indexed".

In response, the examiner disagrees. It is noted that Lang at column 6, lines 10-27, 40-48, column 9, lines 30-50, teaches editing the recorded activity: The activity recordings are readout and displaying on a monitor for editing. The user can edit, insert, rerecord segments or rearrange the recoded activity segments. The combination of Hibi with Lang will teaches inserting a first indexed recoding into a second indexed recoding since Hibi teaches indexed recordings can be retrieved for viewing and Lang teaches inserting recordings.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2616

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-7378. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on (571) 272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H.N